

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,614	11/21/2003	Duck-Chul Hwang	51089/DBP/Y35	3144
23363	7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			CHU, HELEN OK	
	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				γV
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/719,614	HWANG, DUCK-CHUL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Helen O. Chu	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apported to the second section apport	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/27	<u>/06</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	· •	
	closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	l.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected.			
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a		objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
· ·	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/03,11/10/04, 8 10/05	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 16-30 in the reply filed on February 26, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-15, therefore, are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 19-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: The recitation "particle size D" is unclear. Please revise the stated recitation to "particle size diameter."
- 3. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 26 is dependent on claim 15. Therefore, claim 26 is also withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the metal" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please revise "the metal is" to "the metal oxide or metal sulfide consist of a metal"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1745

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 16-18 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gorkovenko et al. (US Patent 6,210,831).

In regards to claims 16-18, Gorkovenko et al. teaches a cathode with electroactive sulfur material in a battery (Title) with conductive filler (Column 5, line 65), a binder (Column 6, Line 5) and an aluminum oxide additive (Column 15, Line18).

In regards to claims 27-30, the Gorkovenko et al. reference discloses a coating layer (Column 22, Line46-47) that comprises a polyethylene oxide, silica, and a conductive carbon (Column 22, 35-40)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorkovenko et al as applied to claims 16-18 and 27-30 above, and further in view of Bi et al. (US Patent 5,952,125)

The Gorkovenko et al. reference teaches the elements of claims 16-18 and 27-30 above and further incorporated herein. The Gorkovenko et al reference further discloses that cathodes that include metal oxide are beneficial in increasing the energy density (Column 15, Lines 9-13), however, the Gorkovenko et al reference does not disclose a

Art Unit: 1745

particle size diameter of 5 to 5000nm. However, the Bi et al reference teaches that cathodes with compositions that intercalate lithium for use in a battery are metal oxides. (Column 1, Lines31-33). Batteries based on metal oxides (vanadium oxide) that are nanoparticles of 5nm 1000nm (Column 1, Lines 42-44) increases energy density compared with larger diameter metal oxide particles (Column 2, Lines 11-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to incorporate metal oxides of 5nm to 1000nm as taught by Bi et al. into the cathode in a lithium-sulfur battery to improve performance characteristics.

9. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorkovenko et al.

Gorkovenko et al. discloses the elements of 16-18 and 27-30 above and further incorporated herein. The disclosure of Gorkovenko et al. reference differs from the Applicant's claims in that Gorkovenko et al do not disclose the specific values of weight percentage used. However, Gorkovenko et al. recognizes that solid composites of cathodes that comprised a metal oxide will increase the energy density. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose the instantly claimed value through process optimization, since it has been held that the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable values involve only routine skill in the art. See *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen O. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-5162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOC

PRIMARY EXAMINER